arXiv:hep-th/9909116v1 16 Sep 1999

IFUM–647–FT

A $Z_2 \times Z_2$ orientifold with spontaneously broken supersymmetry

A. L. Cotrone

Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Milano,
via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy

ABSTRACT

We present a $Z_2 \times Z_2$ four dimensional orientifold in which supersymmetry is broken by a temperature-like Scherk-Schwarz mechanism. As usual in this type of models, at the tree-level the breaking affects only the branes tangent to the direction involved by the SS deformation, and it can propagate only through radiative corrections to other sectors, where the gauge group is broken. The result is a non-chiral model with gauge group $Usp(16)^{\otimes 2} \times Usp(8)^{\otimes 4}$.

---

e-mail: cotrone@pcteolaur1.mi.infn.it

Sept 1999

In the last year some authors [1]-[4] have shown how to build open string theories in which supersymmetry is totally or partially broken by the string anologous of the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism. These models are of particular interest in relation with the various scenarios of compactification of string theories with large compact dimensions, which have possible fenomenological interest also at the energy of a few TeV [5][6]. In this context the Type I has proved to be a very flexible theory, where supersymmetry can be broken on some sets of D-branes, namely the ones that are tangent to the SS-breaking direction, while on the D-branes transverse to the SS direction it is unbroken, at least at the tree level. On this last type of branes the breaking can be transmitted only by radiative corrections. This general setting can accomodate scenarios where the scale of supersymmetry breaking, given by the size of the compactified dimension, demands for a string scale close to the electroweak energy in order to achieve realistic models; in this case the world we live in should be localized on the branes tangent to the SS direction. If otherwise our world is supposed to be on the other type of branes, the string scale can be raised to intermediate values, for supersymmetry breaking would be mediated to us only by radiative corrections. In this paper we build a model that provides an additional example of these phenomena, namely a Type I theory with three groups of D5-branes; two of these are ortogonal to the SS direction and have tree-level supersymmetry preserved, while supersymmetry is broken on the third group and on the D9-branes.
We start from the four dimensional compactification on $T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$ of the Type-IIB theory, orbifolded by the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ action generated by the identity (we will call it "o") and the π rotations $g : (+,-,-)$, $f : (-,+,-)$, $h : (-,-,+)$, where the three entries in the parentheses refer to the three internal tori, while "$+$" and "$-$" are the two group elements of Z_2. The torus partition function reads:

$$\begin{aligned} T \;=\; & \frac{1}{4\tau_2|\eta|^4}\,\Lambda_1[\begin{matrix} h_1 \\ g_1 \end{matrix}]\,\Lambda_2[\begin{matrix} h_2 \\ g_2 \end{matrix}]\,\Lambda_3[\begin{matrix} h_1+h_2 \\ g_1+g_2 \end{matrix}] \times \sum_{h_1,g_1,h_2,g_2=0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ & \sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{2(\alpha+\beta+\alpha\beta)}\,\frac{\theta[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{matrix}]\,\theta[\begin{matrix} \alpha+h_1 \\ \beta+g_1 \end{matrix}]\,\theta[\begin{matrix} \alpha+h_2 \\ \beta+g_2 \end{matrix}]\,\theta[\begin{matrix} \alpha-h_1-h_2 \\ \beta-g_1-g_2 \end{matrix}]}{2\eta^4} \times \\ & \sum_{\tilde\alpha,\tilde\beta=0}^{\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{2(\tilde\alpha+\tilde\beta+\tilde\alpha\tilde\beta)}\,\frac{\tilde\theta[\begin{matrix} \tilde\alpha \\ \tilde\beta \end{matrix}]\,\tilde\theta[\begin{matrix} \tilde\alpha+h_1 \\ \tilde\beta+g_1 \end{matrix}]\,\tilde\theta[\begin{matrix} \tilde\alpha+h_2 \\ \tilde\beta+g_2 \end{matrix}]\,\tilde\theta[\begin{matrix} \tilde\alpha-h_1-h_2 \\ \tilde\beta-g_1-g_2 \end{matrix}]}{2\tilde\eta^4}\,, \end{aligned} \qquad (1)$$

where

$$\Lambda_i[\begin{array}{c} h \\ g \end{array}] \;=\; \Lambda_i \qquad if\ h = g = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\Lambda_i[\begin{array}{c} h \\ g \end{array}] \;=\; \frac{4|\eta|^2}{\theta[\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} - h \\ \frac{1}{2} - g \end{array}]\,\tilde{\theta}[\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} - h \\ \frac{1}{2} - g \end{array}]} \qquad otherwise \tag{3}$$

and Λ_i are the lattice sums associated with the three tori. The open descendant of this theory has been studied in [7]; the open descendants of the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ orientifold of the Type 0B theory, wich are similar to our case, have been studied in [8].

The model we are going to describe is without discrete torsion, Wilson lines and quantized $NS - NS$ antisymmetric tensor that would reduce the rank of the gauge groups.

We now set about breaking the supersymmetry with a Scherk-Schwarz deformation of the torus partition function. In ordinary quantum field theory the SS mechanism consists in giving some fields a periodicity condition along a compactified dimension up to a symmetry transformation, $\Phi(x + 2\pi R) = e^{2i\pi q\omega}\Phi(x)$, where R is the radius of the dimension, q the symmetry charge of the field and ω the parameter of the transformation [9]. The momenta of these fields in that dimension are then shifted proportionally to their symmetry charge, and their masses are accordingly shifted. If the R-simmetry is used, this shift is generally different for the different components of the supermultiplets, yielding a spontaneous supersymmetry breaking. The symmetry used in this paper is the spacetime fermion parity $(-1)^F$ along the tenth dimension [6][10]. The extension of this method to closed superstrings has been developed in [11], where it is shown how the shift in the momenta has to be accompanied by a modification of the compactification lattice in order to preserve modular invariance, yielding a deformation of the torus partition function. The result in our case is that the fermionic part of (1), i.e.

$$\sum_{\substack{spin \\ structures}} \; C\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{C}\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} \theta^4\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}(\tau)\, \tilde{\theta}^4\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}(\tilde{\tau})\;, \tag{4}$$

where the coefficients C are phases given by the GSO projection, is changed by the temperature-like Scherk-Schwarz deformation $(-1)^F$ in:

$$\sum_{\substack{spin \\ structures}} \; C^*\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_L \\ \mathbf{b}_L \end{pmatrix} \tilde{C}^*\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_R \\ \mathbf{b}_R \end{pmatrix} \times$$

$$\times \prod_{i_L=1}^{4} \theta \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{i_L} - n\omega_{i_L} \\ \mathbf{b}_{i_L} + m\omega_{i_L} \end{pmatrix} (\tau) \prod_{i_R=1}^{4} \tilde{\theta} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{i_R} - n\omega_{i_R} \\ \mathbf{b}_{i_R} + m\omega_{i_R} \end{pmatrix} (\tilde{\tau}) \ , \tag{5}$$

with

$$C^* \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} = e^{2i\pi n\omega(\mathbf{b}+\frac{m}{2}\omega)} C \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{6}$$

In (5) and (6), $\omega_{i_{L,R}}$ are the parameters of the transformation (one for each θ-function), in our case equal to $\omega_L = \omega_R = (0, 0, 0, 1)$. We proceed now to the building of the open descendant of the Type-IIB SS-deformed theory following the procedure of [1], according to the standard methods [12].

Introducing the level one O(2) characters:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{\theta_3 + \theta_4}{2\eta} \ , \qquad V_2 = \frac{\theta_3 - \theta_4}{2\eta} \ , \\ S_2 &= \frac{\theta_2 + i\theta_1}{2\eta} \ , \qquad C_2 = \frac{\theta_2 - i\theta_1}{2\eta} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

we can rewrite the deformed torus partition function in terms of the following combinations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{oo} &= V_2I_2I_2I_2 + I_2V_2V_2V_2 - S_2S_2S_2S_2 - C_2C_2C_2C_2 \\
\tau_{og} &= I_2V_2I_2I_2 + V_2I_2V_2V_2 - C_2C_2S_2S_2 - S_2S_2C_2C_2 \\
\tau_{oh} &= I_2I_2I_2V_2 + V_2V_2V_2I_2 - C_2S_2S_2C_2 - S_2C_2C_2S_2 \\
\tau_{of} &= I_2I_2V_2I_2 + V_2V_2I_2V_2 - C_2S_2C_2S_2 - S_2C_2S_2C_2 \\
\tau_{go} &= V_2I_2S_2C_2 + I_2V_2C_2S_2 - S_2S_2V_2I_2 - C_2C_2I_2V_2 \\
\tau_{gg} &= I_2V_2S_2C_2 + V_2I_2C_2S_2 - S_2S_2I_2V_2 - C_2C_2V_2I_2 \\
\tau_{gh} &= I_2I_2S_2S_2 + V_2V_2C_2C_2 - C_2S_2V_2V_2 - S_2C_2I_2I_2 \\
\tau_{gf} &= I_2I_2C_2C_2 + V_2V_2S_2S_2 - S_2C_2V_2V_2 - C_2S_2I_2I_2 \\
\tau_{ho} &= V_2S_2C_2I_2 + I_2C_2S_2V_2 - C_2I_2V_2C_2 - S_2V_2I_2S_2 \\
\tau_{hg} &= I_2C_2C_2I_2 + V_2S_2S_2V_2 - C_2I_2I_2S_2 - S_2V_2V_2C_2 \\
\tau_{hh} &= I_2S_2C_2V_2 + V_2C_2S_2I_2 - S_2I_2V_2S_2 - C_2V_2I_2C_2 \\
\tau_{hf} &= I_2S_2S_2I_2 + V_2C_2C_2V_2 - C_2V_2V_2S_2 - S_2I_2I_2C_2 \\
\tau_{fo} &= V_2S_2I_2C_2 + I_2C_2V_2S_2 - S_2V_2S_2I_2 - C_2I_2C_2V_2 \\
\tau_{fg} &= I_2C_2I_2C_2 + V_2S_2V_2S_2 - C_2I_2S_2I_2 - S_2V_2C_2V_2 \\
\tau_{fh} &= I_2S_2I_2S_2 + V_2C_2V_2C_2 - C_2V_2S_2V_2 - S_2I_2C_2I_2 \\
\tau_{ff} &= I_2S_2V_2C_2 + V_2C_2I_2S_2 - C_2V_2C_2I_2 - S_2I_2S_2V_2
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau'_{oo} &= V_2I_2I_2I_2 + I_2V_2V_2V_2 - C_2S_2S_2C_2 - S_2C_2C_2S_2 \\
\tau'_{og} &= I_2V_2I_2I_2 + V_2I_2V_2V_2 - C_2S_2C_2S_2 - S_2C_2S_2C_2 \\
\tau'_{oh} &= I_2I_2I_2V_2 + V_2V_2V_2I_2 - S_2S_2S_2S_2 - C_2C_2C_2C_2 \\
\tau'_{of} &= I_2I_2V_2I_2 + V_2V_2I_2V_2 - C_2C_2S_2S_2 - S_2S_2C_2C_2 \\
\tau'_{go} &= I_2I_2S_2C_2 + V_2V_2C_2S_2 - S_2S_2V_2V_2 - C_2C_2I_2I_2 \\
\tau'_{gg} &= I_2I_2C_2S_2 + V_2V_2S_2C_2 - S_2S_2I_2I_2 - C_2C_2V_2V_2 \\
\tau'_{gh} &= V_2I_2S_2S_2 + I_2V_2C_2C_2 - S_2C_2I_2V_2 - C_2S_2V_2I_2 \\
\tau'_{gf} &= I_2V_2S_2S_2 + V_2I_2C_2C_2 - C_2S_2I_2V_2 - S_2C_2V_2I_2 \\
\tau'_{ho} &= V_2S_2C_2I_2 + I_2C_2S_2V_2 - S_2I_2V_2S_2 - C_2V_2I_2C_2 \\
\tau'_{hg} &= I_2C_2C_2I_2 + V_2S_2S_2V_2 - C_2V_2V_2S_2 - S_2I_2I_2C_2 \\
\tau'_{hh} &= I_2S_2C_2V_2 + V_2C_2S_2I_2 - C_2I_2V_2C_2 - S_2V_2I_2S_2 \\
\tau'_{hf} &= I_2S_2S_2I_2 + V_2C_2C_2V_2 - C_2I_2I_2S_2 - S_2V_2V_2C_2 \\
\tau'_{fo} &= I_2S_2I_2C_2 + V_2C_2V_2S_2 - S_2V_2S_2V_2 - C_2I_2C_2I_2 \\
\tau'_{fg} &= I_2S_2V_2S_2 + V_2C_2I_2C_2 - C_2I_2S_2V_2 - S_2V_2C_2I_2 \\
\tau'_{fh} &= V_2S_2I_2S_2 + I_2C_2V_2C_2 - S_2I_2C_2V_2 - C_2V_2S_2I_2 \\
\tau'_{ff} &= I_2C_2I_2S_2 + V_2S_2V_2C_2 - S_2I_2S_2I_2 - C_2V_2C_2V_2 \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{oo} &= I_2I_2I_2I_2 + V_2V_2V_2V_2 - C_2S_2S_2S_2 - S_2C_2C_2C_2 \\
\sigma_{og} &= I_2I_2V_2V_2 + V_2V_2I_2I_2 - S_2C_2S_2S_2 - C_2S_2C_2C_2 \\
\sigma_{oh} &= I_2V_2V_2I_2 + V_2I_2I_2V_2 - S_2S_2S_2C_2 - C_2C_2C_2S_2 \\
\sigma_{of} &= I_2V_2I_2V_2 + V_2I_2V_2I_2 - C_2C_2S_2C_2 - S_2S_2C_2S_2 \\
\sigma_{ho} &= I_2S_2C_2I_2 + V_2C_2S_2V_2 - S_2I_2V_2C_2 - C_2V_2I_2S_2 \\
\sigma_{hg} &= I_2S_2S_2V_2 + V_2C_2C_2I_2 - S_2I_2I_2S_2 - C_2V_2V_2C_2 \\
\sigma_{hh} &= I_2C_2S_2I_2 + V_2S_2C_2V_2 - S_2V_2I_2C_2 - C_2I_2V_2S_2 \\
\sigma_{hf} &= I_2C_2C_2V_2 + V_2S_2S_2I_2 - C_2I_2I_2C_2 - S_2V_2V_2S_2 \ . \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use the notation ($i = o, g, h, f$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{io} &= \tau_{io} + \tau_{ig} + \tau_{ih} + \tau_{if} \ , \qquad T_{ig} = \tau_{io} + \tau_{ig} - \tau_{ih} - \tau_{if} \ , \\
T_{ih} &= \tau_{io} - \tau_{ig} + \tau_{ih} - \tau_{if} \ , \qquad T_{if} = \tau_{io} - \tau_{ig} - \tau_{ih} + \tau_{if} \ , \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}
$$

and likewise for the τ''s (T'_{ij}) and the σ's (S_{ij}); moreover a superscript "F" or "B" for the T's will denote the Fermionic or Bosonic part of the characters. We will also need the definitions

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \sum_{m,n} \frac{1+(-1)^n}{2} Z_{m,n} \ , \qquad O_0 = \sum_{m,n} \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2} Z_{m,n} \ , \\ E_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \sum_{m,n} \frac{1+(-1)^n}{2} Z_{m+\frac{1}{2},n} \ , \qquad O_{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{m,n} \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2} Z_{m+\frac{1}{2},n} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where

$$Z_{m+a,n} = \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^2} \sum_{m,n} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{m+a}{R}+\frac{nR}{2})^2} \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{m+a}{R}-\frac{nR}{2})^2} \ , \tag{13}$$

and

$$Z_{m+a} = \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{m+a}{R})^2} \ , \qquad \tilde{Z}_{n+b} = \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{n} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{(n+b)R}{2})^2} \ . \tag{14}$$

In the whole paper $\alpha^{'} = 2$, so that Z_{2m} and Z_{2m+1} denote the sums over integer and half-integer momenta, and likewise for the winding numbers.
The torus partition function results:

$$\begin{aligned} T \ = \ & \frac{1}{4} \Big\{ \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 Z_{m,n} \Big[E_0 (|T^B_{oo}|^2 + |T^F_{oo}|^2) + O_0 (|S^B_{oo}|^2 + |S^F_{oo}|^2) + E_{\frac{1}{2}} (T^B_{oo} \tilde{T}^F_{oo} + \tilde{T}^B_{oo} T^F_{oo}) \\ & + O_{\frac{1}{2}} (S^B_{oo} \tilde{S}^F_{oo} + \tilde{S}^B_{oo} S^F_{oo}) \Big] + \Lambda_1 |\frac{4\eta^2}{\theta_2^2}|^2 \frac{1}{2} \Big[|T_{og}|^2 + |T^{'}_{og}|^2 \Big] + \Lambda_2 |\frac{4\eta^2}{\theta_2^2}|^2 \frac{1}{2} \Big[|T_{of}|^2 + |T^{'}_{of}|^2 \Big] \\ & + |\frac{4\eta^2}{\theta_2^2}|^2 Z_{m,n} \Big[E_0 (|T^B_{oh}|^2 + |T^F_{oh}|^2) + O_0 (|S^B_{oh}|^2 + |S^F_{oh}|^2) + E_{\frac{1}{2}} (T^B_{oh} \tilde{T}^F_{oh} + \tilde{T}^B_{oh} T^F_{oh}) \\ & + O_{\frac{1}{2}} (S^B_{oh} \tilde{S}^F_{oh} + \tilde{S}^B_{oh} S^F_{oh}) \Big] + \Lambda_1 |\frac{4\eta^2}{\theta_4^2}|^2 \frac{1}{2} \Big[|T_{go}|^2 + |T^{'}_{go}|^2 \Big] + \Lambda_2 |\frac{4\eta^2}{\theta_4^2}|^2 \frac{1}{2} \Big[|T_{fo}|^2 + |T^{'}_{fo}|^2 \Big] \\ & + |\frac{4\eta^2}{\theta_4^2}|^2 Z_{m,n} \Big[E_0 (|T^B_{ho}|^2 + |T^F_{ho}|^2) + O_0 (|S^B_{ho}|^2 + |S^F_{ho}|^2) + E_{\frac{1}{2}} (T^B_{ho} \tilde{T}^F_{ho} + \tilde{T}^B_{ho} T^F_{ho}) \\ & + O_{\frac{1}{2}} (S^B_{ho} \tilde{S}^F_{ho} + \tilde{S}^B_{ho} S^F_{ho}) \Big] + \Lambda_1 |\frac{4\eta^2}{\theta_3^2}|^2 \frac{1}{2} \Big[|T_{gg}|^2 + |T^{'}_{gg}|^2 \Big] + \Lambda_2 |\frac{4\eta^2}{\theta_3^2}|^2 \frac{1}{2} \Big[|T_{ff}|^2 + |T^{'}_{ff}|^2 \Big] \\ & + |\frac{4\eta^2}{\theta_3^2}|^2 Z_{m,n} \Big[E_0 (|T^B_{hh}|^2 + |T^F_{hh}|^2) + O_0 (|S^B_{hh}|^2 + |S^F_{hh}|^2) - E_{\frac{1}{2}} (T^B_{hh} \tilde{T}^F_{hh} + \tilde{T}^B_{hh} T^F_{hh}) \\ & + O_{\frac{1}{2}} (S^B_{hh} \tilde{S}^F_{hh} + \tilde{S}^B_{hh} S^F_{hh}) \Big] + |\frac{8\eta^3}{\theta_2 \theta_3 \theta_4}|^2 \frac{1}{2} \Big[|T_{gh}|^2 + |T^{'}_{gh}|^2 + |T_{gf}|^2 + |T^{'}_{gf}|^2 + |T_{hg}|^2 \\ & + |T^{'}_{hg}|^2 + |T_{hf}|^2 + |T^{'}_{hf}|^2 + |T_{fg}|^2 + |T^{'}_{fg}|^2 + |T_{fh}|^2 + |T^{'}_{fh}|^2 \Big] \Big\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where we left implicit the contribution of the transverse bosons and the argument of the characters, $q = exp(2i\pi\tau)$, with τ the modulus of the torus. The same will be done for the other amplitudes, namely the Klein bottle, annulus and Möbius strip. In these cases the relations between direct and transverse channel moduli are the ones in [2].

The direct channel Klein bottle amplitude reads:

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{1}{8}\Big\{P_1P_2P_3T_{oo} + P_1W_2\tilde{Z}_n(\tilde{Z}_{2n}T_{oo} + \tilde{Z}_{2n+1}S_{oo}) + W_1P_2\tilde{Z}_n(\tilde{Z}_{2n}T_{oo} + \tilde{Z}_{2n+1}S_{oo}) \\ &\quad + W_1W_2P_3T_{oo} + 16\left(\frac{\eta}{\theta_4}\right)^2\Big[(P_1+W_1)(T_{go}+T^{'}_{go}) + (P_2+W_2)(T_{fo}+T^{'}_{fo}) \\ &\quad + 2P_3T_{ho} + 2\tilde{Z}_n(\tilde{Z}_{2n}T_{ho} + \tilde{Z}_{2n+1}S_{ho})\Big]\Big\}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

P_i (W_i) denotes the restriction of Λ_i to its momentum (winding) sublattice as in [2][3][4]. A modular S-transformation [1] gives the transverse channel Klein bottle amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K} &= \frac{2^5}{8}\Big\{v_1v_2v_3W^e_1W^e_2W^e_3T_{oo} + \frac{v_1}{v_2v_3}W^e_1P^e_2Z_{2m}(Z_{2m}T^B_{oo} + Z_{2m+1}T^F_{oo}) \\ &\quad + \frac{v_2}{v_1v_3}P^e_1W^e_2Z_{2m}(Z_{2m}T^B_{oo} + Z_{2m+1}T^F_{oo}) + \frac{v_3}{v_1v_2}P^e_1P^e_2W^e_3T_{oo} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{2\eta}{\theta_2}\right)^2\Big[(v_1W^e_1 + \frac{P^e_1}{v_1})(T_{og}+T^{'}_{og}) + (v_2W^e_2 + \frac{P^e_2}{v_2})(T_{of}+T^{'}_{of}) + 2v_3W^e_3T_{oh} \\ &\quad + 2\frac{Z_{2m}}{v_3}(Z_{2m}T^B_{oh} + Z_{2m+1}T^F_{oh})\Big]\Big\}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where the v_i denote the volumes of the compactified tori. The contributions at the origin of the lattice can be organized in terms whose coefficients are perfect squares. Furthermore, there is no need to introduce D$\tilde{5}$-branes as in [4] (and [1]) since in this case there is no change in the chirality of the fermions in the deformed theory.

The only characters that can descend on the transverse channel annulus amplitude are the T_{oi}, $T^{'}_{oi}$ and S_{oi}, since the others are not self-conjugate. The presence of two types of characters (T_{oi}, $T^{'}_{oi}$) produces the separation of two of the three sets of D5-branes into subsets, that we will call D_{1a}, D_{1b}, D_{2a} and D_{2b}. If we choose a configuration of branes, all placed at fixed points, in which the third set (D_3) intersects all the subsets while the D_{ia}'s intersect the D_{ib}'s, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} &= \frac{2^{-5}}{8}\Big\{N^2v_1v_2v_3W_1W_2\tilde{Z}_n(\tilde{Z}_{2n}T_{oo} + \tilde{Z}_{2n+1}S_{oo}) \\ &\quad + \frac{v_1}{v_2v_3}W_1P_2Z_m\Big[(D_{1a}+D_{1b})^2(Z_{2m}T^B_{oo} + Z_{2m+1}T^F_{oo}) \\ &\quad + (D_{1a}-D_{1b})^2(Z_{2m+1}T^B_{oo} + Z_{2m}T^F_{oo})\Big] \\ &\quad + \frac{v_2}{v_1v_3}P_1W_2Z_m\Big[(D_{2a}+D_{2b})^2(Z_{2m}T^B_{oo} + Z_{2m+1}T^F_{oo}) \\ &\quad + (D_{2a}-D_{2b})^2(Z_{2m+1}T^B_{oo} + Z_{2m}T^F_{oo})\Big] + D_3^2\frac{v_3}{v_1v_2}P_1P_2\tilde{Z}_n(\tilde{Z}_{2n}T_{oo} + \tilde{Z}_{2n+1}S_{oo}) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{2\eta}{\theta_2}\right)^2\Big[v_1W_1(2ND_{1a}T_{og} + 2ND_{1b}T^{'}_{og}) + v_2W_2(2ND_{2a}T_{of} + 2ND_{2b}T^{'}_{of}) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+v_3\tilde{Z}_n 2ND_3(\tilde{Z}_{2n}T_{oh}+\tilde{Z}_{2n+1}S_{oh})\Big]+\left(\frac{2\eta}{\theta_2}\right)^2\Big[\frac{1}{v_1}P_1(2D_{2a}D_3T_{og}+2D_{2b}D_3T^{'}_{og})\\
&+\frac{1}{v_2}P_2(2D_{1a}D_3T_{of}+2D_{1b}D_3T^{'}_{of})\\
&+\frac{1}{v_3}Z_m\Big((2D_{1a}D_{2a}+2D_{1a}D_{2b}+2D_{2a}D_{2b}+2D_{2a}D_{1b})(Z_{2m}T^B_{oh}+Z_{2m+1}T^F_{oh})\\
&+(2D_{1a}D_{2a}-2D_{1a}D_{2b}+2D_{2a}D_{2b}-2D_{2a}D_{1b})(Z_{2m+1}T^B_{oh}+Z_{2m}T^F_{oh})\Big)\Big]\Big\}\ . \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also this amplitude can be organized as sums of perfect squares. The direct channel can be obtained by an S-transformation, and reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\ =\ &\frac{1}{8}\Big\{N^2P_1P_2Z_m(Z_{2m}T^B_{oo}+Z_{2m+1}T^F_{oo})\\
&+P_1W_2\tilde{Z}_n\Big[(D^2_{1a}+D^2_{1b})\tilde{Z}_{2n}T_{oo}+2D_{1a}D_{1b}\tilde{Z}_{2n+1}S_{oo})\Big]\\
&+W_1P_2\tilde{Z}_n\Big[(D^2_{2a}+D^2_{2b})\tilde{Z}_{2n}T_{oo}+2D_{2a}D_{2b}\tilde{Z}_{2n+1}S_{oo})\Big]\\
&+D^2_3W_1W_2Z_m(Z_{2m}T^B_{oo}+Z_{2m+1}T^F_{oo})+\left(\frac{\eta}{\theta_4}\right)^2\Big[P_1(2ND_{1a}T_{go}+2ND_{1b}T^{'}_{go})\\
&+P_2(2ND_{2a}T_{fo}+2ND_{2b}T^{'}_{fo})+Z_m2ND_3(Z_{2m}T^B_{ho}+Z_{2m+1}T^F_{ho})\Big]\\
&+\left(\frac{\eta}{\theta_4}\right)^2\Big[W_1(2D_{2a}D_3T_{go}+2D_{2b}D_3T^{'}_{go})+W_2(2D_{1a}D_3T_{fo}+2D_{1b}D_3T^{'}_{fo})\\
&+\tilde{Z}_n\Big((2D_{1a}D_{1b}+2D_{2a}D_{2b})\tilde{Z}_{2n}T_{ho}+(2D_{1a}D_{2b}+2D_{2a}D_{1b})\tilde{Z}_{2n+1}S_{ho}\Big)\Big]\Big\}\ . \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the geometric mean of the amplitudes $\tilde{K}$ and $\tilde{A}$ we can derive the transverse channel Möbius amplitude:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{M}\ =\ &-\frac{1}{4}\Big\{Nv_1v_2v_3W^e_1W^e_2\tilde{Z}_{2n}\Big[(\tilde{Z}_{4n}+\tilde{Z}_{4n+2})\hat{T}^B_{oo}+(\tilde{Z}_{4n}-\tilde{Z}_{4n+2})\hat{T}^F_{oo}\Big]\\
&+\frac{v_1}{v_2v_3}W^e_1P^e_2Z_{2m}(D_{1a}+D_{1b})(Z_{2m}\hat{T}^B_{oo}+Z_{2m+1}\hat{T}^F_{oo})\\
&+\frac{v_2}{v_1v_3}P^e_1W^e_2Z_{2m}(D_{2a}+D_{2b})(Z_{2m}\hat{T}^B_{oo}+Z_{2m+1}\hat{T}^F_{oo})\\
&+D_3\frac{v_3}{v_1v_2}P^e_1P^e_2\tilde{Z}_{2n}\Big[(\tilde{Z}_{4n}+\tilde{Z}_{4n+2})\hat{T}^B_{oo}+(\tilde{Z}_{4n}-\tilde{Z}_{4n+2})\hat{T}^F_{oo}\Big]\\
&+\left(\frac{2\hat{\eta}}{\hat{\theta}_2}\right)^2\Big[v_1W^e_1(\frac{N}{2}\hat{T}_{og}+\frac{N}{2}\hat{T}^{'}_{og}+D_{1a}\hat{T}_{og}+D_{1b}\hat{T}^{'}_{og})\\
&+v_2W^e_2(\frac{N}{2}\hat{T}_{of}+\frac{N}{2}\hat{T}^{'}_{of}+D_{2a}\hat{T}_{of}+D_{2b}\hat{T}^{'}_{of})\\
&+v_3\tilde{Z}_{2n}(N+D_3)\Big((\tilde{Z}_{4n}+\tilde{Z}_{4n+2})\hat{T}^B_{oh}+(\tilde{Z}_{4n}-\tilde{Z}_{4n+2})\hat{T}^F_{oh}\Big)\Big]\\
&+\left(\frac{2\hat{\eta}}{\hat{\theta}_2}\right)^2\Big[\frac{1}{v_1}P^e_1(\frac{D_3}{2}\hat{T}_{og}+\frac{D_3}{2}\hat{T}^{'}_{og}+D_{2a}\hat{T}_{og}+D_{2b}\hat{T}^{'}_{og})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{v_2} P_2^e \Big(\frac{D_3}{2}\hat{T}_{of} + \frac{D_3}{2}\hat{T}^{'}_{of} + D_{1a}\hat{T}_{of} + D_{1b}\hat{T}^{'}_{of}\Big) \\
&+\frac{1}{v_3} Z_{2m} \Big((D_{1a} + D_{1b} + D_{2a} + D_{2b}) Z_{2m}\hat{T}^{B}_{oh} \\
&+(D_{1a} + D_{1b} - D_{2a} - D_{2b}) Z_{2m+1}\hat{T}^{F}_{oh}\Big)\Big]\Big\} \ , \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used a proper basis of “hatted characters” [3], while the “hats” on the Z’s, $\tilde{Z}$’s, P’s and W’s have been left implicit. In order to obtain the direct channel contribution we have to make a P-transformation [1], that gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M \;=\; & -\frac{1}{8}\Big\{ N P_1 P_2 Z_m (Z_{2m}\hat{T}^{B}_{oo} + Z_{2m+1}\hat{T}^{F}_{oo}) \\
&+ P_1 W_2 \tilde{Z}_n (D_{1a} + D_{1b})(\tilde{Z}_{2n}\hat{T}^{B}_{oo} + (-1)^n \tilde{Z}_{2n}\hat{T}^{F}_{oo}) \\
&+ W_1 P_2 \tilde{Z}_n (D_{2a} + D_{2b})(\tilde{Z}_{2n}\hat{T}^{B}_{oo} + (-1)^n \tilde{Z}_{2n}\hat{T}^{F}_{oo}) + D_3 W_1 W_2 Z_m (Z_{2m}\hat{T}^{B}_{oo} + Z_{2m+1}\hat{T}^{F}_{oo}) \\
&- \left(\frac{2\hat{\eta}}{\hat{\theta}_2}\right)^2 \Big[P_1\Big(\frac{N}{2}\hat{T}_{og} + \frac{N}{2}\hat{T}^{'}_{og} + D_{1a}\hat{T}_{og} + D_{1b}\hat{T}^{'}_{og}\Big) \\
&+ P_2\Big(\frac{N}{2}\hat{T}_{of} + \frac{N}{2}\hat{T}^{'}_{of} + D_{2a}\hat{T}_{of} + D_{2b}\hat{T}^{'}_{of}\Big) + Z_m (N + D_3)(Z_{2m}\hat{T}^{B}_{oh} + Z_{2m+1}\hat{T}^{F}_{oh})\Big] \\
&- \left(\frac{2\hat{\eta}}{\hat{\theta}_2}\right)^2 \Big[W_1\Big(\frac{D_3}{2}\hat{T}_{og} + \frac{D_3}{2}\hat{T}^{'}_{og} + D_{2a}\hat{T}_{og} + D_{2b}\hat{T}^{'}_{og}\Big) \\
&+ W_2\Big(\frac{D_3}{2}\hat{T}_{of} + \frac{D_3}{2}\hat{T}^{'}_{of} + D_{1a}\hat{T}_{of} + D_{1b}\hat{T}^{'}_{of}\Big) + \tilde{Z}_n \Big((D_{1a} + D_{1b} + D_{2a} + D_{2b}) \tilde{Z}_{2n}\hat{T}^{B}_{oh} \\
&+ (D_{1a} + D_{1b} - D_{2a} - D_{2b})(-1)^n \tilde{Z}_{2m}\hat{T}^{F}_{oh}\Big)\Big]\Big\} \ . \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

Tadpole cancellation conditions give the results $N = D_3 = 32$ and $D_{1a} = D_{1b} = D_{2a} = D_{2b} = 16$, so that in the model there are 32 D9-branes and three sets of 32 D5-branes each as in the supersymmetric case, but two of the groups of D5-branes are separated in two subsets of 16 branes each. The model is non chiral, therefore free of gauge and gravitational anomalies. Inspection of the Möbius amplitude reveals the need for symplectic groups, and the correct Chan-Paton parametrization $N = 2n$ and $D_i = 2d_i$ gives the symmetry groups $Usp(16)_9 \times Usp(8)_{5_1} \times Usp(8)_{5_1} \times Usp(8)_{5_2} \times Usp(8)_{5_2} \times Usp(16)_{5_3}$. The massless spectrum contains only the bosonic part of the $N = 1$ adjoint vector multiplets and of the three chiral multiplets in the $(\mathbf{120})$ for the groups $Usp(16)_9$ and $Usp(16)_{5_3}$, while the correspondent fermions are in this case massive. For the remaining groups we have instead the full adjoint vector multiplets and chiral multiplets in the $(\mathbf{28},\mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{28})$ of each $Usp(8) \times Usp(8)$. We also have additional chiral multiplets in the $(\mathbf{16},\mathbf{8})$ for the sectors ND_1, ND_2, D_3D_1, D_3D_2 (two each), in the $(\mathbf{8},\mathbf{8})$ for the sectors D_1D_2 (two), and only the scalars of the chiral multiplet in the $(\mathbf{16},\mathbf{16})$ for the sector ND_3. The spectrum is therefore non supersymmetric in the sectors related to D-branes tangent to the breaking dimension, while it has the supersymmetry preserved on the other branes and in the mixed sectors, where nevertheless the symmetry groups are broken in two equal parts.

Acknowledgments.

I would like to thank Prof. Luciano Girardello for having introduced me to the study of string theory.

References

[1] I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas, A. Sagnotti, "Supersymmetry breaking, open strings and M-theory", Nucl. Phys. **B544** (1999) 469, hep-th/9807011

[2] I. Antoniadis, G. D'Apollonio, E. Dudas, A. Sagnotti, "Partial breaking of supersymmetry, open strings and M-theory", hep-th/9812118

[3] I. Antoniadis, G. D'Apollonio, E. Dudas, A. Sagnotti, "Open descendants of $Z_2 \times Z_2$ freely-acting orbifolds", hep-th/9907184

[4] I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas, A. Sagnotti, "Brane supersymmetry breaking", hep-th/9908023

[5] I. Antoniadis, C. Muñoz, M. Quiros, "Dynamical supersymmetry breaking with a large internal dimension", Nucl. Phys. **B397** (1993) 515, hep-th/9211309
I. Antoniadis, M. Quiros, "Supersymmetry breaking in M-theory and gaugino condensation", Nucl. Phys. **B505** (1997) 109, hep-th/9705037
N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. Dvali, "The hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter", Phys. Lett. **B429** (1998) 263, hep-th/9803315, "Phenomenology, astrophysics and cosmology of theories with sub-millimeter dimensions and TeV scale quantum gravity", Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 086004, hep-th9807344
I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. Dvali, "New dimensions at a millimeter to a Fermi and superstrings at a TeV", Phys. Lett. **B436** (1998) 257, hep-th/9804398
C. Bachas, "Unification with low string scale", JHEP **9811** (1998) 023, hep-th/9807415
Z. Kakushadze, S.-H. H. Tye, "Brane world", Nucl. Phys. **B548** (1999) 180, hep-th/9809147
K. Benakli, "Fenomenology of low quantum gravity scale models", hep-th/9809582
I. Antoniadis, S. Dimopoulos, A. Pomarol, M. Quiros, "Soft masses in theories with supersymmetry breaking by TeV-compactification", Nucl. Phys. **B544** (1999) 503, hep-th/9810410
L. Randall, R. Sundrum, "Out of this world supersymmetry breaking", hep-th/9810155
I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas, "Branes and the gauge hierarchy", Phys. Lett. **B450** (1999) 83, hep-th/9812093

Z. Kakushadze, “TeV-scale supersymmetric standard model and brane world”, Nucl. Phys. **B552** (1999) 3, hep-th/9812163

[6] I. Antoniadis, “A possible new dimension at a few TeV”, Phys. Lett. **B246** (1990) 377

[7] M. Berkooz, R. G. Leigh, “A D=4 N=1 orbifold of Tipe I strings”, Nucl. Phys. **B483** (1997) 187, hep-th/9605049

[8] K. Förger, “On non-tachyonic $Z_N \times Z_M$ orientifolds of Type 0B string theory”, hep-th/9909010

[9] J. Scherk, J. H. Schwarz, “How to get masses from extra dimensions”, Nucl. Phys. **B153** (1979) 61, “Spontaneous breaking of supersymmetry through dimensional reduction”, Phys. Lett. **B82** (1979) 60
E. Cremmer, J. Scherk, J. H. Schwarz, “Spontaneously broken N=8 supergravity”, Phys. Lett. **B84** (1979) 83
P. Fayet, “Supersymmetric grand unification in a six-dimensional spacetime”, Phys. Lett. **B159** (1985) 121, “Six-dimensional supersymmetric QED, R-invariance and N=2 supersymmetry breaking by dimensional reduction”, Nucl. Phys. **B263** (1986) 649

[10] K. Benakli, “Perturbative supersymmetry breaking in orbifold with Wilson line background”, Phys. Lett. **B386** (1996) 106, hep-th/9509115

[11] R. Rohm, “Spontaneous supersymmetry breaking in supersymmetric string theories”, Nucl. Phys. **B237** (1984) 553
C. Kounnas, M. Porrati, “Spontaneous supersymmetry breaking in string theory”, Nucl. Phys. **B310** (1988) 355
S. Ferrara, C. Kounnas, M. Porrati, F. Zwirner, “Superstrings with spontaneously broken supersymmetry and their effective theories”, Nucl. Phys. **B318** (1989) 75
C. Kounnas, B. Rostand, “Coordinate-dependent compactifications and discrete symmetries”, Nucl. Phys. **B341** (1990) 641
I. Antoniadis, C. Kounnas, “Superstring phase transition at high temperature”, Phys. Lett. **B261** (1991) 369
E. Kiritsis, C. Kounnas, “Perturbative and non-perturbative partial supersymmetry breaking: $N = 4 \to N = 2 \to N = 1$”, Nucl. Phys. **B503** (1997) 117, hep-th/9703059

[12] A. Sagnotti, in: Cargese '87, Non-Perturbative Quantum Field Theory, eds. G. Mack et al. (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1988) p. 521
G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti, "Open string orbifolds", Phys. Lett. **B216** (1989) 59
M. Bianchi, A. Sagnotti, "On the systematics of open-string theories", Phys. Lett. **B247** (1990) 517, "Twist symmetry and open-string Wilson lines", Nucl. Phys. **B361** (1991) 519
M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti, "Toroidal compactification and symmetry breaking in open-string theories", Nucl. Phys. **B376** (1992) 365
E. G. Gimon, J. Polchinski, "Consistency conditions for orientifolds and D-manifolds" Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 1667, hep-th/9601038